

### Feeders from Pudukote Tank.

Q.—600. Sri SIDDIAH *alias* KUNNIAH (Gundlupet-Heggaddevanakote—Scheduled Castes).—

Will the Government be pleased to state.—

(a) whether it is a fact that the question of affording irrigation facilities by feeding the tanks of Dornehalli, Chikkereyur and Chotahalli from Pudukote Tank in Heggaddevanakote Taluk is under correspondence since 1947;

(b) whether it is true that the P. W. D. has obtained mutchalikas in respect of water-rate and contribution from the raiyats under the said three tanks;

(c) (i) as a result of the above scheme the number of acres that would be brought under wet cultivation under the abovesaid tanks:

(ii) the estimated amount of the scheme; and

(iii) the details of the said scheme;

(d) the reasons for not yet bringing it into effect?

A.—Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue and Public Works).—

(a) Yes.

(b) No.

(c) Does not arise in view of reply to (d) below.

(d) The feeder channel project was investigated and found to be not feasible.

Sri SIDDIAH *alias* KUNNIAH.—Sir, I have no supplementaries.

### Employees' Provident Fund Act (Workmen's difficulties in Scheduled Industries).

Q.—80. Sri D. VENKATESH (Gandhinagar).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) in how many scheduled industries the Employees' Provident Fund Act has been made applicable in the State;

(b) the number of employees that have been covered by this Act (the figures may be given industry-wise);

(c) in how many cases the exemption has been granted under Section 17 of the Provident Fund Act; the conditions, if any, (with particulars relating to each of the exempted concerns), may be given;

(d) the steps they have taken to relieve the workmen of their difficulty in swearing before a Magistrate to withdraw their accumulations in the Provident Fund?

A.—Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO (Minister for Law and Education).—

(a) 64 factories.

(b) *Industry No. of Employees covered (as on November 1953).*

1. Cement	... Nil.
2. Cigarettes	... 2,370
3. Electrical, Mechanical or General Engineering	... 12,491
4. Iron and Steel	... Nil.
5. Paper	... 1,155
6. Textiles (made wholly or in part of Cotton or Jute or Silk, whether natural or artificial).	... 21,786

Total ... 37,802

(c) 16 factories have been granted exemption under Section 17 (a) of the Act.

For particulars please see the enclosure appended below.

(d) The difficulties of adherence to the procedure prescribed have been brought to the notice of the Central Provident Fund Commissioner by the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner and instructions from the former are awaited.

(SRI A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.)

## ENCLOSURE.

*Name of exempted Concern*

*Conditions under which exemption is granted in addition to the general conditions specified in the enclosure, which are applicable to all the exempted Concerns.*

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Mysore Electrical Industries, Ltd., Opposite City Railway Colony, New Mysore Road, Bangalore-2.</li> <li>2. Sri Krishna Spinning and Weaving Mills, Ltd., Subramanyapuram, Bangalore.</li> <li>3. Radio and Electricals Manufacturing Co., Mysore Road, Bangalore-2.</li> <li>4. Kirloskar Electric Co., Ltd., Rajajinagar, Bangalore.</li> <li>5. Mysore Kirloskar, Ltd., Harihar</li> <li>6. The Mysore Paper Mills, Ltd., Bhadravati.</li> <li>7. Sri Krishnarajendra Mills, Ltd., Mysore.</li> <li>8. Amco, Ltd., Mysore Road, Bangalore-2.</li> <li>9. Mysore Hosieries and Silk Factory.</li> <li>10. The Davangere Cotton Mills, Ltd., Davangere.</li> <li>11. Indian Telephone Industries, Ltd., Bangalore.</li> <li>12. The Mysore Spun Silk Mills, Ltd., Channapatna.</li> <li>13. Messrs. Minerva Mills, Ltd., Bangalore-3.</li> <li>14. The Mysore Spinning and Manufacturing Co., Ltd., Bangalore.</li> <li>15. The Hindustan Aircraft, Ltd., Bangalore (South India).</li> </ol> | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) They will have to pay an inspection charge of <math>\frac{3}{4}</math> per cent on total monthly contributions payable by the employer and employees of the Factory.</li> <li>(ii) The rules of the Provident Fund may have to be further altered slightly at a later stage when the legal and income-tax issues, which are under examination now are settled.</li> <li>(i) That the Provident Fund Rules of the Factory will be modified in accordance with the Employees' Provident Funds Act and the Scheme.</li> <li>(ii) That they will abide by the conditions specified in the enclosure.</li> <li>(iii) That they will pay an inspection charge of <math>\frac{3}{4}</math> per cent of total monthly contributions payable by the Employers and Employees of the Factory.</li> <li>(iv) That the rules of their Provident Fund may have to be further modified later when the legal and income-tax issues which are under consideration, are settled.</li> <li>(v) A formal notification exempting their Factory will be issued on receipt of the fully amended Provident Fund Rules of their Factory.</li> </ol> |
|--|---|

## ENCLOSURE.—(concl'd.)

*Conditions under which exemption is granted in addition to the general conditions specified in the enclosure, which are applicable to all the exempted Concerns.*

## Name of exempted Concern

16. The Bangalore Woollen, Cotton and Silk Mills, Ltd., Bangalore-2.

Provisionally exempted with effect from 1st November 1952, subject to the conditions, namely,—

- (a) that they will adopt as the rate of contributions in such a way that it will secure to the Members of the Fund in the service of the Company prior to the introduction of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 benefits either under the Government Schemes or under the Company's Old Schemes, which existed prior to the commencement of the Employees' Provident Funds Act (*i.e.*, at the rate of  $7\frac{1}{2}$  per cent of pay exclusive of Dearness Allowance) which ever is great ;
- (b) that the Provident Fund Rules of the Factory will, wherever deficient be modified in accordance with the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, and the Scheme framed thereunder ;
- (c) that they will abide by the conditions specified in the enclosure ;
- (d) that they will pay an inspection charge of  $\frac{3}{4}$  per cent of the total monthly contributions payable by the employers and employees of the Factory ;
- (e) the rules of their Provident Fund may have to be further modified later when legal and income-tax issues, which are under consideration, are settled ; and
- (f) a formal notification exempting the Factory will be issued as soon as the Provident Fund Rules have been modified wherever deficient, in conformity with the Act and the Scheme.

(SRI A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.)

### CONDITIONS.

*[These conditions are in addition to the conditions mentioned in the Explanation to Clause (a) of Section 17.]*

1. The employer in relation to the Factory shall, within six months from the date of the publication of the notification, amend the constitution Board of Trustees in whom the Fund vests includes an equal number of of the Provident Fund of the Factory in such manner as to ensure that the representatives of the employees. The Chairman of the Board shall be a representative of the employer, but shall have no casting vote. Matters on which the opinion is equally divided shall be referred to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner whose decision in the matter shall be final and binding.

2. The rules relating to the Provident Fund of the Factory shall not be altered except with the previous approval of the Commissioner and a copy of each amendment to the rules shall be sent to the Commissioner. Employees shall have a right of appeal to the Central Commissioner in case the Commissioner (other than the Central Commissioner) approves any rules to their disadvantage.

3. (a) The employer in relation to the Factory shall maintain accounts of the Provident Fund in such manner, and submit such returns as the Commissioner may, from time to time, direct.

(b) Every such employer shall furnish to the Commissioner such accounts relating to the Provident Fund of the Factory (which shall include an annual statement of the account of each subscriber) as the Commissioner may require from time to time.

(c) Every such employer shall submit to the Central Government quarterly statement showing :—

- (i) total accumulations to the credit of the Fund,
- (ii) balances available for investment, and
- (iii) the manner of investment of the funds.

(d) Further investment of accumulations with effect from the date of the notification shall be in Central Government securities. The re-investment and/or conversion of securities on maturity should also be in Central Government securities.

4. The employer in relation to the Factory shall afford reasonable facilities for inspection to a Commissioner or an Inspector and pay such administrative charges for periodic inspection of the Factory as may be specified by the Central Government from time to time.

5. (a) The employer in relation to the Factory shall carry out such instructions as the Central Government may, from time to time, issue for the purpose of enforcing all or any of the aforesaid conditions.

(b) The Central Government reserve the right to impose such further conditions as may be deemed necessary in the interests of the employees of the Factory.

6. The expenses involved in maintaining and furnishing accounts and returns shall be payable by the employer.

7. The exemption can be withdrawn by the Central Government at any time.

**Sri D. VENKATESH.**—May I know whether these Cement and Iron & Steel Factories are Government factories?

**Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.**—Yes, Sir.

**Sri D. VENKATESH.**—Will the Government consider the possibility of extending the Employees' Provident Fund Act to the other Industries also?

**Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.**—Categories have been prescribed under the rules and we are confining ourselves to the rules.

**Sri D. VENKATESH.**—On page 13 of the Schedule, with regard to conditions prescribed under item 2, the Commissioner, if an amendment is submitted, has got the right of amending without hearing the employees after an appeal is preferred. Will not the Government think it better to hear the employees before the order is passed?

**Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.**—We have not thought about that. If the Hon'ble Member wants, we will examine.

**Sri D. VENKATESH.**—Thank you, Sir.

### Self-respect marriages in the State

Q.—196. **Sri G. SIVAPPA** (Holal-kere).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether there are "Self-respect Marriages" in the State;

(b) if any, whether they are thinking to bring legislation to validate them as the Madras Government are going to do in Madras?

A.—**Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO** (Minister for Law and Education).—

(a) No.

(b) Does not arise in view of answer to (a).

**Sri G. SIVAPPA.**—I have no supplementaries.

**Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.**—May I know whether Government intend to bring legislation allowing such marriages?

**Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.**—No legislation is necessary for such marriages.

**Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.**—May I know whether Government intend to bring legislation so that all these present formalities of marriages according to Hindu rites are given up and they follow the practice as is being followed under Self-respect Marriages?

**Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.**—No legislation is necessary.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಎಫ್. ಹುಚ್ಚಮಾಸ್ತಿಗೌಡ.**—'Self-respect Marriages' ಎಂದರೇನು?

**ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರರಾವ್.**—ನಾನು ಅರ್ಥ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವಹಾಗೆ, ಸ್ವೇಚ್ಛಾವಿವಾಹ, ಸ್ವಚ್ಛಂದ ವಿವಾಹ, ಸ್ವಚ್ಛಭಾಷೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಬೇಕಾದರೆ, ಗಾಂಧರ್ವ ವಿವಾಹ.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—'Gandharva Vivaha' is recognised in the Hindu Law.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಎಫ್. ಹುಚ್ಚಮಾಸ್ತಿಗೌಡ.**—ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ 'Self-respect Marriages' ಇಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ತಾವು ಉತ್ತರ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ; ಆದರೆ ತಾವು ಹೇಳಿದ definition ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಮದುವೆಗಳಾಗುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲವೇ?

**ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರರಾವ್.**—ಮೈಸೂರು ಸೀಮೆಯಲ್ಲಾಗುತ್ತಿರುವ ಮದುವೆಗಳು ಕ್ರಮವಾಗಿ ನಡೆಯುತ್ತಿವೆ.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಎಫ್. ಹುಚ್ಚಮಾಸ್ತಿಗೌಡ.**—ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾನೂನು ಏನಾದರೂ ಇದೆಯೇ?

**ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರರಾವ್.**—ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾನೂನಾಗಬೇಕೆಂದು ನಾವು ಮಾಡಿಲ್ಲ.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ವಿ. ನಾರಾಯಣರೆಡ್ಡಿ.**—'Inter-communal marriages'ಗೆ scope ಇದೆಯಲ್ಲವೇ?

**ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರರಾವ್.**—ಇದೆ.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ವಿ. ನಾರಾಯಣರೆಡ್ಡಿ.**—ಹಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ ಇದ ರಿಂದ ಅಸ್ಪೃಶ್ಯತೆ ನಿವಾರಣೆಯಾಗಲು ಅವಕಾಶವಾಗುತ್ತದ್ದಲ್ಲವೇ?

**ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರರಾವ್.**—ಪ್ರತಿಬಂಧಕ ಎಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ವೆಂಕಟೇಶ್.**—ಇಂಥ ಮದುವೆಗಳಾದರೆ ಅವನ್ನು legalise ಮಾಡಬೇಯಿದ್ದರೆ, ಅವರ ಮಕ್ಕಳು illegitimate ಆಗುತ್ತಾರಲ್ಲವೇ?

**ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರರಾವ್.**—ಅಂಥಾದ್ದು ನಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ವೆಂಕಟೇಶ್.**—ಇಂಥಾ ಮದುವೆ ಹಿಂದೂ ಲಾ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಅನಾಥ್ಯವಲ್ಲವೇ?

**ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರರಾವ್.**—ಇಂಥಾದ್ದು ನಮಗೆ ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ.